

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V. ESWARAI AH

WRIT PETITION No.178 OF 2007

-
DATED: 20-04-2007

BETWEEN

J. Chenna Reddy, S/o. J. Pullaiah,
Occ: Agirculturist, R/o. Bheemunipalli
Village, Peddavadugur Mandal,
Ananthapur District.

...PETITIONER

AND

The Superintendent of Police,
Ananthapur, Ananthapur District
And another.

...RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

-
-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an extent of Ac.4.15 cents in Sy.No.93 of Ravuludiki Village of Peddavaduguru Mandal of Ananthapur District belonged to the father of the petitioner was transferred into his mother's name after the death of his father and they constructed a house therein and living in the said land apart from cultivating the land. While so at the instance of one

Mr. C. Meenakshi Reddy, who is neighbour of the petitioner, the second respondent – Sub-Inspector of Police is illegally coming to the house of the petitioner and threatening him to remove the wall in question. The second respondent is also calling the petitioner to the police station and threatening to remove the wall saying that the first respondent directed him to do so.

2. Counter has been filed stating that the said Meenakshi Reddy lodged a

complaint against the petitioner stating that the petitioner has highhandedly constructed a wall in front of the door of his house, which was entered into the General Diary and he was advised to settle the dispute in a competent civil Court but no action was taken on the same. It is further stated that the second respondent never went to the house of the petitioner and the petitioner was never called to the police station and threatened to remove the said wall. It is stated that the second respondent never interfered with the civil disputes of the petitioner and he was never called to the police station at odd hours. The police has nothing to do with the civil disputes between the petitioner and the said Meenakshi Reddy.

3. In view of the aforesaid categorical denial of the allegations of the petitioner, I am of the opinion that no orders need be passed in this writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

April 20, 2007
DSK

V. ESWARAIAH, J